





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,830	01/11/2000	KURT H LOHSE	LOHSE-I	7571
7	7590 05/23/2003			
LEONARD TACHNER			EXAMINER	
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE			LASTRA, DANIEL	
SUITE 38-E IRVINE, CA 926146364			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3622	

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/482,830

Applicant(s)

Lohse

Exam

Examiner

James W. Myhre

Art Unit

3622

-
l

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) James W. Myhre	(3) Morgan Rosenberg	
(2) Dan Lastra	(4)	
Date of Interview May 20, 2003	-	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 Identification of prior art discussed:		
Scroggie et al (6,014,634 and DeLapa et al (6,076,068)		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached	. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or	
the customer providing a spending amount nor selecting a Scroggie did explicitly disclose that the customer is presenthen selects one of the merchants at which the coupon with the coupon redemption criteria can be based on the total v	claim 1 stressing that the two cited references did not disclose provider from a sub-set of providers. The Examiner noted that ted with a list of qualified merchants (sub-set of providers) and Il be redeemable. However, while the references disclose that alue of the purchase, it is not disclosed that the customer erchant. The Applicant will submit the proposed amendment	
	noted that while the above feature appears to overcome the	
cited references, further search would be required.		
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is	
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

JAMES W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3622

Examiner's signature, if required